

Docket No.: 20472/0202860-US0  
(PATENT)

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

In re Patent Application of:  
Kiyoharu Nakajima et al.

Application No.: 10/540,813

Confirmation No.: 6346

Filed: June 22, 2005

Art Unit: 3651

For: CHUCK DEVICE OF CONTAINER,  
TRANSPORTATION DEVICE WITH THE  
SAME, AND CHUCK CLAW FOR THE  
TRANSPORTATION DEVICE

---

Examiner: D. A. Hess

**RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT**

MS Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the Restriction Requirement set forth in the Office Action mailed July 6, 2007 (Paper No. 20070618), Applicants hereby provisionally elect Group I, claims 1-11 and 17-26 for continued examination, **with traverse**.

**RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT**

The Examiner has required restriction between:

Group I:        Claims 1-11 and 17-26, drawn to a gripper classified in class 194,  
                         subclass 116, or

Group II:        Claims 12-16 and 27, drawn to a conveyor having a gripper classified in class  
                         198, subclass 474.1.

In response Applicants elect Group I, claims 1-11 and 17-26, drawn to a gripper **with traverse**. Claims 12-16 and 27 depend from the Group I claims and a search of one invention can disclose references for the Group II claims. Thus, Applicants submit that there is no undue burden of search to search both Groups.

Alternately, the Group II claims can be consider a species and claims 1 and 17 can be considered generic to that species.